



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,023	11/28/2001	Tomas Eriksson	12373	3004
75	90 09/15/2003			
Dvorak & Oru	ım	EXAMINER		
53 West Jackson Chicago, IL 60			SAOUD, CH	RISTINE J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/980,023

Applicant(s)

ERIKSSON

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Christine Saoud Art Unit 1647

	The M	AILING DATE of the	is communication	appears (on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address	
	for Reply							
) STATUTORY PE DATE OF THIS C			TO EXPIRE _	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM	
					no event, however,	may a reply l	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
_	-	communication. y specified above is less t	han thirty (30) days, a r	eply within th	e statutory minimu	m of thirty (3	0) days will be considered timely.	
-					-		from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
- Any re	pty received b	by the Office later than the adjustment. See 37 CFR	ree months after the ma					
Status	, p=10							
1) 🗆	Respons	ive to communica	tion(s) filed on _					
2a) 🗌	This acti	ion is FINA L.	2b) 💢	This acti	ion is non-fina	al.		
3) 🗆		* *			•		ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Cl	aims						
4) 💢	Claim(s)	1-4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				is/are pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the	above, claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)						is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s)	1-4			 		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		·				is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims _				aı	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Pape	ors						
9) 🗆	The spec	cification is object	ed to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	The draw	wing(s) filed on		is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applica	nt may not request	that any objection	n to the d	rawing(s) be h	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The prop	oosed drawing co	rection filed on		i	s:a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	lf appro	ved, corrected drav	vings are required	l in reply t	o this Office a	ction.		
12)	The oath	or declaration is	objected to by t	he Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 a	nd 120					
13)[又	Acknow	ledgement is mad	e of a claim for t	foreign pr	iority under 3	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🔀	(d IIA (☐ Some* c)☐	None of:					
	1. Ce	rtified copies of th	e priority docum	ents have	e been receiv	ed.		
	2. 🗀 Ce	rtified copies of th	e priority docum	ents have	e been receiv	ed in App	olication No	
		application from	om the Internatio	onal Burea	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage	
_		ached detailed Of						
_	_	ledgement is mad						
a) ∟ 15) 🔲		enslation of the fo					received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm		ioogement is mad	o or a claim for (Joinestic	priority unde	00 0.0.	O. 33 120 GHG/OF 121.	
		nces Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview S	iummary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 💢 Inf	ormation Disc	losure Statement(s) (PTO	1449) Paper No(s)	1	6) Other:			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09980023

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 365 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)).

2. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 365 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. ______" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed on or after November 29, 2000, any claim for priority must be made during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within

Application/Control Number: 09980023 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (2) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be directed to the Office of Petitions, Box DAC, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-3 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The additional recitations of intended use in claims 2 and 3 (i.e. "intended for administration by means of intravenous injections" and "by means of a nasal spray") are not actual limitations on the claimed subject matter. A statement of intended use does not place any physical limitation on the composition or the method, and therefore, does not further limit the subject matter of the previous claim.

Application/Control Number: 09980023 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

Double Patenting

4. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 4 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The intended use statement in claim 4 (i.e. "for the assessment of the degree of severity of a certain case of OCD; obsessive-compulsive disorder) does not place any physical limitation on the claimed subject matter, and therefore, this claim is substantially duplicative of claim 1 (which also has intended use of "for the diagnosis of OCD").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-4 provide for the use of a composition comprising at least one substance within the group GnRH analogues, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim

Application/Control Number: 09980023

Art Unit: 1647

is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 4 recite "at least one substance within the group GnRH analogues", however, there is no antecedent basis for "the group GnRH analogues". The instant specification fails to define "the group GnRH analogues", and therefore, it is not clear what is encompassed by this terminology. A fair reading of "GnRH analogues" would encompass any derivative or variant of GnRH, which would necessarily encompass agonistic as well as antagonistic molecules. The specification at page 3, lines 12-22, appears to be directed to GnRH analogues which are

Application/Control Number: 09980023 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

agonistic molecules. It is suggested that the claims be directed to GnRH analogues which stimulate the release of gonadotropins from the pituitary (i.e. agonists).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoeger et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,580,957).

Hoeger et al. teach a method of producing a pharmacological agent comprising a GnRH analogue (see Example 1 beginning at column 15, line 25; especially column 17, lines 36-49). The instant claims include intended use recitations, however, such recitation is not afforded any patentable weight. The claims are interpreted, for the purposes of examination, as being directed to a method of producing a pharmacological agent comprising at least one GnRH analogue. The method of producing a pharmacological agent comprising at least on GnRH analogue is taught in the prior art of Hoeger et al., and therefore, the claims are anticipated.

Conclusion

11. No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09980023

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7519. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8AM to 2PM. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.6(d) and 1.8). NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. If this number is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternate number. Official papers filed After Final rejection filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER